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Hans Tieman spoke to the Stanford Exploration Project on Jan 23, 1996 about depth imaging with slant slacks. Among his techniques is a clever method of converting slant stacks of midpoint gathers into equivalent slant stacks of source gathers. A source gather best represents a physical experiment that can be modeled easily by wave-equation methods. Midpoint gathers, however, better include the coherence of steep reflections and better avoid aliasing. A conversion takes advantage of the best of both domains.

## The data

Seismic amplitudes $d(s, r, t)$ are recorded over time $t$ as a function of surface horizontal positions for source $s$ and receiver $r$. Although these positions are one-dimensional we must also be prepared to think of them as vectors indicating a surface position. The time coordinate is sampled evenly and densely enough that we can think of it as continuous.

For a given source, we have a limited range of receivers (perhaps $3-5$ kilometers), and vice versa. Receiver positions are often sampled two or four times as densely as source positions. In marine data, both are relatively evenly sampled, but a spatial Fourier transform must pay attention to aliasing or edge effects from the short span. Land data will be much more arbitrarily sampled.

Define the coordinates of full offset $h \equiv r-s$ and midpoint $y \equiv(s+r) / 2$. Resorted data can be written as $d(s=y-h / 2, r=y+h / 2, t)$. The well-sampled midpoint coordinate covers the entire span of the survey.

## A conventional slant stack

Slant stacks are commonly applied to unsorted data, one shot at a time. This form is well suited to deconvolution of multiple reflections from flat reflectors. Such multiple reflections are periodic at zero-offset, but not at a single finite offset $h$.

A slant stack attempts to describe our recorded data as a sum of dipping lines. A dip $p_{s}$ will measure the slope of time with offset holding a source position constant.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p_{s} \equiv \frac{\partial t}{\partial h}\right|_{s} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With ideal sampling and infinite offsets, this equation would describe a plane-wave source on the surface. A plane wave reflecting from flat reflectors would produce periodic multiples at any $p_{s}$. Predictive deconvolutions can detect this periodicity and remove multiple reflections.

The simplest slant-stack sums data over all lines within a feasible range of dips. Let $\tau_{s}$ be the intersection at zero offset of our imaginary plane wave in the shot gather.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(s, p_{s}, \tau_{s}\right) \equiv \int d\left(s, r=s+h, t=\tau_{s}+p_{s} h\right) d h \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In practice the integral over offset $h$ must be a discrete sum with a limited range of offsets.
The inverse of this transform looks much like another slant stack, with some adjustments of the spectrum. Papers are readily available to explain this inverse. I will concentrate instead on the conversion of one type of slant stack to another.

## The Fourier version

Because the time axis is well sampled and unaliased, we can safely Fourier transform the data between time $t$ and frequency $f$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(s, r, t) \equiv \int \exp (i 2 \pi f t) \tilde{d}(s, r, f) d f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tildes will indicate Fourier transforms. Transform the slant stack from $\tau_{s}$ to its frequency $f_{s}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}\left(s, p_{s}, f_{s}\right)=\int \exp \left(-i 2 \pi f_{s} \tau_{s}\right) S\left(s, p_{s}, \tau_{s}\right) d \tau_{s} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The slant stack simplifies numerically. Substitute the transform (3) into the slant stack (2), then take the transform (4) of both sides of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}\left(s, p_{s}, f_{s}\right)=\iiint \exp \left(-i 2 \pi f_{s} \tau_{s}\right) \exp \left(i 2 \pi f\left(\tau_{s}+p_{s} h\right)\right) \tilde{d}(s, r=s+h, f) d h d f d \tau_{s} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging terms, we reduce integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}\left(s, p_{s}, f_{s}\right) & =\iint\left\{\int \exp \left[-i 2 \pi\left(f_{s}-f\right) \tau_{s}\right] d \tau_{s}\right\} \exp \left(i 2 \pi f p_{s} h\right) \tilde{d}(s, r=s+h, f) d h d f \\
& =\iint\left\{\delta\left(f_{s}-f\right)\right\} \exp \left(i 2 \pi f p_{s} h\right) \tilde{d}(s, r=s+h, f) d h d f \\
& =\int \exp \left(i 2 \pi f_{s} p_{s} h\right) \tilde{d}\left(s, r=s+h, f=f_{s}\right) d h \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The second step uses the Fourier transform of a delta function $\delta(f)=\int \exp (-i 2 \pi f t) d t$. The third uses the behavior of a delta function in an integral $\int g(f) \delta\left(f-f_{0}\right) d f=g\left(f_{0}\right)$.

## The midpoint gather

We should prefer a transform of a single source gather because these gathers correspond to a physical experiment that can be modeled easily by wave-equation methods. Unfortunately,
reflections from dipping layers and point scatters may have a very complicated expression in a source gather. We may be obliged to use many dips to capture their coherence. Worse, many reflections will have minimum times at finite offset, and a slant stack will alias some of their energy.

If the data are first sorted by midpoint $y$ and half-offset $h$, then reflections from dipping lines and from points will still remain symmetric about zero offset. A slant stack of a midpoint gather will better capture the coherence of the reflections:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y\left(y, p_{y}, \tau_{y}\right) \equiv \int d\left(s=y-h / 2, r=y+h / 2, t=\tau_{y}+p_{y} h\right) d h \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{y}=\left.\frac{\partial t}{\partial h}\right|_{y} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Fourier version of a common-midpoint slant stack can be derived exactly as before. Let $f_{y}$ be the Fourier frequency of $\tau_{y}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}\left(y, p_{y}, f_{y}\right)=\int \exp \left(i 2 \pi f_{y} p_{y} h\right) \tilde{d}\left(s=y-h / 2, r=y+h / 2, f=f_{y}\right) d h \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unfortunately, this slant stack does not correspond to any single seismic experiment, and wave-equation modeling is much more awkward.

## Conversion of midpoint to source gather

Fortunately, we can convert this common-midpoint transform (9) into an equivalent commonsource transform (6). Let us make two additional Fourier transforms over spatial dimensions of $s$ and $y$ for the spatial frequencies $k_{s}$ and $k_{y}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\tilde{S}}\left(k_{s}, p_{s}, f_{s}\right) & =\int \exp \left(-i 2 \pi k_{s} s\right) \tilde{S}\left(s, p_{s}, f_{s}\right) d s \\
& =\iint \exp \left(-i 2 \pi k_{s} s\right) \exp \left(i 2 \pi f_{s} p_{s} h\right) \tilde{d}\left(s, r=s+h, f=f_{s}\right) d h d s \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\tilde{Y}} \quad\left(k_{y}, p_{y}, f_{y}\right)=\int \exp \left(-i 2 \pi k_{y} y\right) \tilde{Y}\left(y, p_{y}, f_{y}\right) d y \\
& =\iint \exp \left(-i 2 \pi k_{y} y\right) \exp \left(i 2 \pi f_{y} p_{y} h\right) \tilde{d}\left(s=y-h / 2, r=y+h / 2, f=f_{y}\right) d h d y \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

To place the second integral (11) in the form of the first (10), we should change the variables of integration from $h$ and $y$ to $h$ and $s$. (The Jacobian of this transformation is $\partial(h, y) / \partial(h, s)=$ 1.) Substituting $y=s+h / 2$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\tilde{Y}}\left(k_{y}, p_{y}, f_{y}\right) \\
& =\iint \exp \left[-i 2 \pi k_{y}(s+h / 2)\right] \exp \left(i 2 \pi f_{y} p_{y} h\right) \tilde{d}\left(s, r=s+h, f=f_{y}\right) d s d y \\
& =\iint \exp \left(-i 2 \pi k_{y} s\right) \exp \left[i 2 \pi f_{y} h\left(p_{y}-k_{y} / 2 f_{y}\right)\right] \tilde{d}\left(s, r=s+h, f=f_{y}\right) d s d y \\
& =\tilde{\tilde{S}}\left(k_{s}=k_{y}, p_{s}=p_{y}-k_{y} / 2 f_{y}, f_{s}=f_{y}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, a two-dimensional stretch of the midpoint-gather transform becomes equivalent to the source-gather transform. For a given dip over offset in a midpoint gather $p_{y}$, we can identify a dip over midpoint

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{y} / f_{y}=\left.\frac{\partial \tau_{y}}{\partial y}\right|_{p_{y}}=\left.\frac{\partial t}{\partial y}\right|_{h} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adjustment of $p_{s}=p_{y}-k_{y} / 2 f_{y}$ subtracts half of this midpoint dip from the offset dip. With a careful application of the chain rule, and carefully distinguishing partial derivatives, we could arrive at the same result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial t}{\partial h}\right|_{s}=\left.\frac{\partial t}{\partial h}\right|_{y}+\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial t}{\partial y}\right|_{h} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

